

Very ample linear systems on abelian varieties

preliminary version

O. Debarre, K. Hulek, J. Spandaw

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Linear systems on abelian varieties	3
3	Degeneration of abelian varieties	7
3.1	Abelian varieties	7
3.2	Toroidal embedding	9
3.3	Mumford's construction	10
3.4	Description of the degenerate abelian varieties	15
3.5	The $(1, \dots, 1, d)$ -polarized case.	17
4	Degeneration of the polarization	18
5	Very ampleness in the case $d > 2^g$	21

1 Introduction

Let M be an ample line bundle on a abelian variety X . (Throughout this paper, we shall work over the complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$. Hence by abelian variety

*The first author is partially supported by N.S.F. grant DMS 92-03919 and the European Science Project "Geometry of Algebraic Varieties", contract no. SCI-0398-C (A). The second and third author are supported by the DFG project "Schwerpunktprogramm komplexe Mannigfaltigkeiten".

we always mean complex abelian variety.) It is known that M^n is very ample for $n \geq 3$ (Lefschetz theorem) and that M^2 is very ample if and only if $|M|$ has no fixed divisor (Ohbuchi theorem). Very little is known for line bundles on X which are not non-trivial powers of ample line bundles.

In this article we consider exclusively the case of line bundles L of type $(1, \dots, 1, d)$, which are exactly the pullbacks of principal polarizations by cyclic isogenies of degree d .

When X is an abelian surface, such an L is base-point-free if and only if $d \geq 3$ and (X, L) is not a product [2, lemma 10.1.2]; it is very ample if and only if $d \geq 5$ and X contains no elliptic curve E such that $L.E \leq 2$ [2, corollary 10.4.2].

In higher dimensions, we show that for (X, L) *generic* of type $(1, \dots, 1, d)$ and dimension g , the linear system $|L|$ is base-point-free if and only if $d \geq g+1$ (see proposition 2). Moreover, the morphism $\phi_L : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{d-1}$ that it defines is birational onto its image if and only if $d \geq g+2$ (see proposition 6).

These results are part of a general conjectural picture: for $d > g$, the morphism ϕ_L should be an embedding outside of a set of dimension $2g+1-d$. In particular, L should be very ample if and only if $d \geq 2g+2$. (For $g > 2$, Barth and Van de Ven have shown that L cannot be very ample for $d \leq 2g+1$ [1, 16].)

We show that for (X, L) *generic* of type $(1, \dots, 1, d)$ and dimension g , the line bundle L is very ample for $d > 2^g$, by checking it on a rank- $(g-1)$ degeneration (see corollary 25). The same result was proved in [5] by a different method for $g = 3$ and $d \geq 13$. For $g = 3$, this leaves only the case $d = 8$ open (the polarization is never very ample in that case for the degenerations we consider).

As shown by Kollár in [9], our result in dimension 3 implies the following version of a conjecture of Griffiths and Harris: for d odd, $d \geq 9$, the degree of any curve on a very general hypersurface of degree $6d$ in $\mathbb{P}^4$ is divisible by d .

This paper was completed during a visit of the first author at the University of Hannover. The authors are grateful to the DFG for financial support which made this visit possible.

2 Linear systems on abelian varieties

In this section we focus on the behaviour of morphisms $\phi_L : A \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(H^0(A, L)^*)$, where A is a *generic* abelian variety and L an ample line bundle on A , such that $h^0(A, L) = d$ or, equivalently, $L^g = g!d$.

Theorem 1 *Let A be an abelian variety of dimension g and let $\phi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{d-1}$ be a finite morphism. Then*

- (i) *the ramification locus of ϕ has dimension at least $2g - d$*
- (ii) *if F is a closed subset of A such that the restriction of ϕ to $A - F$ is an embedding, then $\dim(F) \geq 2g + 1 - d$, except if $(g, d) = (1, 3)$ or $(2, 5)$.*

Proof: The ramification of ϕ is the locus where $d\phi : TA \rightarrow \phi^*T\mathbb{P}^{d-1}$ has rank less than g . Since ϕ is finite, $\phi^*T\mathbb{P}^{d-1}$ is ample, hence so is $\phi^*T\mathbb{P}^{d-1} \otimes T^*A$. By [7, theorem 1.1], this locus is non-empty if $g \geq d - 1 - (g - 1) = d - g$ and has dimension at least $g - (d - g)$. This proves (i).

To prove (ii), we follow ideas of Van de Ven ([16]). Assume that $\dim(F) \leq 2g - d$. The intersection of $\phi(A)$ with $s = 2g - d + 1$ generic hyperplanes is a smooth irreducible $(g - s)$ -dimensional scheme S contained in $\phi(A - F)$. Note that S sits in $\mathbb{P}^{2(g-s)}$, so that we can do a Chern class computation as in [16].

Set $l = c_1(\mathcal{O}_S(1))$. Then $c(TS) = (1 + l)^{-s}$ and the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow TS \rightarrow T\mathbb{P}^{2(g-s)}|_S \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$$

gives $c(N) = (1 + l)^d$. It follows ([16, prop. 3]) that $(\deg S)^2 = c_{g-s}(N) = \binom{d}{g-s} l^{g-s}$. Since $l^{g-s} = \deg S = \deg A$, we finally get $\deg A = \binom{d}{g+1}$. Since the degree of any ample line bundle on an abelian variety of dimension g is divisible by $g!$, the conclusion is that $\binom{d}{g+1}$ is divisible by $g!$. We may assume that $g < d \leq 2g + 1$. We will let the reader check that this can only happen for $g = 1$ or 2 . (For the case $d = 2g + 1$, see [16].) Cases where $d = g + 1$ are trivially excluded and so is $(g, d) = (2, 4)$.

This finishes the proof of (ii). $\square$

One might expect that there is equality in (i) and (ii) for a generic abelian variety, but the answer probably depends on the *type* of the polarization $\phi^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$. From now on, we will restrict ourselves to polarizations of type $(1, \dots, 1, d)$. We shall need the following facts.

Let L be a line bundle of type $(1, \dots, 1, d)$ on an abelian variety A . We define the groups $\mathcal{G}(L)$ and $K(L)$ as in [2, chapter 6]. Then, $K(L)$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^2$, and there is a central extension:

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(L) \xrightarrow{\pi} K(L) \rightarrow 0.$$

The group $\mathcal{G}(L)$ operates on $H^0(A, L)$ [11, p. 295]. If $\tilde{\epsilon}$ is an element of $\mathcal{G}(L)$ of order d , it generates a maximal level subgroup of $\mathcal{G}(L)$ (in the sense of [11, p. 291], hence there exists a non-zero section s of L , unique up to multiplication by a non-zero scalar, such that $\tilde{\epsilon} \cdot s = s$ [11, prop. 3]. In particular, for $0 \leq \lambda < d$, there exists a non-zero section s_λ such that $\tilde{\epsilon} \cdot s_\lambda = e^{2i\pi\lambda/d} s_\lambda$. Given A, L and $\tilde{\epsilon}$, the ordered set $\{s_0, \dots, s_{d-1}\}$ is well-defined up to multiplication of its elements by non-zero scalars; it is a basis for $H^0(A, L)$, which we will call a *canonical* basis.

Proposition 2 *Let (A, L) be a generic polarized abelian variety of dimension g and type $(1, \dots, 1, d)$. Then $|L|$ is base-point-free if and only if $d > g$.*

Proof: If $d \leq g$, then d elements in $|L|$ always intersect, since L is ample.

Now let $d > g$. It is enough to exhibit one example. The construction is the same as in [5]. Let $E_1, \dots, E_g$ be elliptic curves, let ϵ_j be a point of order d on E_j , for $j = 1, \dots, g$ and let $\pi : E_1 \times \dots \times E_g \rightarrow A$ be the quotient by the subgroup generated by $\epsilon_j - \epsilon_k$, for $1 \leq j < k \leq g$.

Let 0_j be the origin of E_j , let $L_j = \mathcal{O}_{E_j}(d0_j)$ and let M be the polarization $\bigotimes_{j=1}^g \text{pr}_j^* L_j$ on $E_1 \times \dots \times E_g$. Pick a lift $\tilde{\epsilon}_j$ of ϵ_j of order d in $\mathcal{G}(L_j)$. Then the $\tilde{\epsilon}_j \tilde{\epsilon}_1^{-1}$, for $1 < j \leq g$, generate a level subgroup of $\mathcal{G}(M)$ hence, by [11, prop. 1], there exists a polarization L on A of type $(1, \dots, 1, d)$ such that $\pi^* L = M$. Moreover, if $\{s_{j,\lambda}\}_{0 \leq \lambda \leq d-1}$ is a canonical basis for $(E_j, L_j, \tilde{\epsilon}_j)$, then $\{s_{1,\lambda} s_{2,\lambda} \dots s_{g,\lambda}\}_{0 \leq \lambda \leq d-1}$ is a basis for $\pi^* H^0(A, L)$

If all these sections vanish at some point $(e_1, \dots, e_g)$ and $d > g$, then at least two different $s_{j\alpha}, s_{j\beta}$ must vanish at e_j for some j . But this cannot happen since $\text{div}(s_{j\beta})$ and $\text{div}(s_{j\alpha})$ are distinct and are both translates of the divisor $\sum_{l=1}^d (l\epsilon_j)$. Hence L is base-point-free. $\square$

Remarks 3 (i) A similar argument shows that for $0 < d \leq g$, the generic base locus has dimension exactly $g - d$.

(ii) By an argument similar to the one used in [12], the following can be shown. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a moduli space of polarized abelian varieties of dimension g and degree $g + 1$. Then the locus of polarized abelian varieties for which the corresponding linear system has a base point is either $\mathcal{A}$ or a divisor. The proposition implies that it is a divisor when the type is $(1, \dots, 1, g + 1)$. It may happen that this locus be everything (e.g. for type $(1, 2, 2)$ (cf. also [13])).

In view of theorem 1, it is tempting to make the following

Conjecture 4 *Let (A, L) be a generic polarized abelian variety of dimension $g > 2$ and type $(1, \dots, 1, d)$ with $d > g$ and let $\phi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{d-1}$ be the morphism associated with $|L|$ (cf. proposition 2). Then the ramification of ϕ has dimension $2g - d$ and there exists a closed subset F of A of dimension $2g + 1 - d$ such that the restriction of ϕ to $A - F$ is an embedding.*

It is of course understood that a set of negative dimension is empty. In particular, the conjecture implies that for $d \geq g + 2$, the morphism ϕ should be birational onto its image. This is proven below (proposition 6). For $d \geq 2g + 2$, the line bundle L should be very ample. In §5, we will prove that this is the case for $d > 2^g$ (see theorem 24).

The following proposition shows that, to prove the conjecture for given d and g , it is enough to exhibit *one* polarized abelian variety, or a suitable degeneration, for which it holds.

Proposition 5 *Consider a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ g \downarrow & & \downarrow h \\ W & \xlongequal{\quad} & W, \end{array}$$

where X, Y, W are analytic (resp. algebraic) varieties and f, g and h are proper. Let p be a point in W , let X_p be the fibre of f over p and assume that there is a closed (resp. Zariski closed) subset F_p of X_p such that the restriction of f to $X_p - F_p$ is unramified (an embedding). Then, for all points w in an open (resp. Zariski open) neighbourhood of p in W , there exists a closed (resp. Zariski closed) subset F_w of X_w with $\dim(F_w) \leq \dim(F_p)$ such that the restriction of f to $X_w - F_w$ is unramified (an embedding).

Proof: Let G be the support of $\Omega_{X/Y}$. Since $\Omega_{X/Y} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_p} \cong \Omega_{X_p/Y_p}$ and $f|_{X_p-F_p}$ is unramified, $G \cap X_p$ is contained in F_p . By semicontinuity of the dimension of the fibres of a morphism [6, prop. 3.4, p. 134], this proves the first part of the proposition, since f is unramified outside of G .

Assume now that $f|_{X_p-F_p}$ is an embedding. Let Z be the union of the components of $X \times_Y X$ other than the diagonal Δ_X , whose image in W contains p . Then, by definition of $\Omega_{X/Y}$, the set $Z \cap \Delta_X$ is contained in $G \times G$; moreover, since $f|_{X_p-F_p}$ is injective, $Z_p - \Delta_{X_p}$ is contained in $F'_p \times F'_p$, where $F'_p = f^{-1}(f(F_p))$. Therefore, Z_p is contained in $F'_p \times F'_p$. Since $F'_p - F_p$ embeds in $f(F_p)$, one has $\dim(F'_p) = \dim(F_p)$. This finishes the proof: one can take for F_w the first projection of Z_w in X_w . $\square$

Proposition 6 *Let (A, L) be a generic polarized abelian variety of dimension g and type $(1, \dots, 1, d)$ with $d \geq g+2$. Then the morphism $\phi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{d-1}$ associated with $|L|$ is birational onto its image.*

Proof: Let $\mathcal{A}_{g,d,\theta}$ be the moduli space of abelian varieties A of dimension g , with a polarization L of type $(1, \dots, 1, d)$ and a point $\tilde{\epsilon}$ of order d in $\mathcal{G}(L)$. Let $P = (A, L, \tilde{\epsilon})$ be a point in $\mathcal{A}_{g,d,\theta}$, and let $\{s_0, \dots, s_{d-1}\}$ of be a corresponding canonical basis for $H^0(A, L)$.

We first claim that for P in a dense open set U of $\mathcal{A}_{g,d,\theta}$, no $g+1$ distinct sections in the canonical basis have a common zero. Since $\mathcal{A}_{g,d,\theta}$ is irreducible [2, chapter 8, §3], it is enough to find one point P for which this property holds. This follows directly from the proof of proposition 1: keeping the same notation, the point $\tilde{\epsilon}_1$ of $\mathcal{G}(L_1)$ corresponds to a point $\tilde{\epsilon}$ of $\mathcal{G}(L)$ of order d [11, prop. 2], and the basis for $H^0(A, L)$ given in the proof is canonical for $(A, L, \tilde{\epsilon})$; no $g+1$ elements of this basis vanish simultaneously.

Now let $(A, L, \tilde{\epsilon})$ be an element of U with A *simple* (i.e. such that A contains no non-trivial abelian subvarieties). The choice of a canonical basis defines a morphism

$$\phi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{d-1}.$$

Assume that ϕ is not birational over its image. Then there exists a component D of $A \times_{\mathbb{P}^{d-1}} A$, distinct from the diagonal, such that the first projection $D \rightarrow A$ is surjective. In particular, $\dim(D) \geq g$. Let m be the morphism

$$\begin{aligned} m : D &\rightarrow A \\ (x, y) &\mapsto x - y. \end{aligned}$$

Let a be a generic point in $m(D)$, let F be an irreducible component of $m^{-1}(a)$ and let $G = \text{pr}_2(F)$. Then

$$F = \{(x + a, x); x \in G\}.$$

This implies that $\phi(x) = \phi(x + a)$ for all $x \in G$, hence $L|_G \cong \tau_{-a}^* L|_G$. (For any $x \in A$, $\tau_x : A \rightarrow A$ denotes translation over x .) Therefore, a lies in the kernel of

$$\begin{aligned} A &\rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(\Gamma) \\ x &\mapsto (\tau_x^* L \otimes L^{-1})|_\Gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Since A is simple, it follows that either a is torsion, in which case m is constant with image a , or else $m^{-1}(a)$ is finite, in which case m is surjective. In the first case, all elements of $|L|$ are invariant by translation by a , which implies $a = 0$ and contradicts our choice of D . In the second case, there exists $x \in A$ such that $\phi(x) = \phi(x + \epsilon)$, where ϵ is the image of $\tilde{\epsilon}$ in $K(L)$. Since

$$\phi(x + \epsilon) = (s_0(x), \omega s_1(x), \dots, \omega^{d-1} s_{d-1}(x)),$$

where $\omega = e^{2i\pi/d}$, it follows that all of the d sections in the canonical basis but one vanish at x . When $d \geq g + 2$, this contradicts the fact that $P \in U$. It follows that ϕ is birational onto its image. $\square$

3 Degeneration of abelian varieties

3.1 Abelian varieties

We consider the Siegel space of degree g , i.e.

$$\mathcal{H}_g = \{\tau \in M(g \times g, \mathbb{C}); \tau = {}^t \tau, \text{Im } \tau > 0\}.$$

Fix an integer $d \geq 1$. Then every point

$$\tau = \begin{pmatrix} \tau_{11} & \cdots & \tau_{1g} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \tau_{g1} & \cdots & \tau_{gg} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{H}_g$$

defines a $(1, \dots, 1, d)$ -polarized abelian variety, namely

$$A_\tau = \mathbb{C}^g / L_\tau,$$

where L_τ is the lattice spanned by the rows of the period matrix

$$\Omega_\tau = \begin{pmatrix} \tau \\ D \end{pmatrix}, \quad D = \text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, d).$$

We are interested in certain degenerations of these abelian varieties, namely those which arise if $\tau_{11}, \dots, \tau_{g-1, g-1}$ go to $i\infty$. We shall first treat the principally polarized case, i.e. $d = 1$. The general case can then be derived easily from this.

We will employ the following notation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{e} : \mathbb{C} &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}^* \\ z &\mapsto e^{2\pi iz}. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$t_{ij} = \mathbf{e}(\tau_{ij}).$$

Let $z_1, \dots, z_g$ be the standard coordinates on $\mathbb{C}^g$ and let

$$w_i = \mathbf{e}(z_i).$$

The abelian variety A_τ can be written as a quotient

$$A_\tau = \mathbb{Z}^g \backslash (\mathbb{C}^*)^g,$$

where $l = (l_1, \dots, l_g) \in \mathbb{Z}^g$ operates on $(\mathbb{C}^*)^g$ by

$$\begin{aligned} l(w_1, \dots, w_g) &= (w'_1, \dots, w'_g) \\ w'_i &= \prod_{j=1}^g t_{ij}^{l_j} w_i. \end{aligned}$$

We are interested in what happens as $t_{11}, \dots, t_{g-1, g-1}$ go to zero.

3.2 Toroidal embedding

Recall that $\gamma \in \mathrm{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z})$ operates on $\mathcal{H}_g$ by

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} : \tau \mapsto (A\tau + B)(C\tau + D)^{-1}.$$

(Here A , B , C and D are $(g \times g)$ -matrices.) The quotient $\mathrm{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathcal{H}_g$ is the moduli space of principally polarized abelian varieties of dimension g . The symplectic group $\mathrm{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z})$ contains the lattice subgroup

$$\mathcal{P} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & N \\ 0 & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix} ; N \in \mathrm{Sym}(g, \mathbb{Z}) \right\},$$

which acts on $\mathcal{H}_g$ by $\tau \mapsto \tau + N$. We consider the partial quotient

$$\mathcal{P} \backslash \mathcal{H}_g \subset \mathrm{Sym}(g, \mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathrm{Sym}(g, \mathbb{C}).$$

Using the coordinates t_{ij} , one can make the identification

$$\mathrm{Sym}(g, \mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathrm{Sym}(g, \mathbb{C}) \cong (\mathbb{C}^*)^{\frac{1}{2}g(g+1)}.$$

We use the standard coordinates T_{ij} on $\mathrm{Sym}(g, \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}^{\frac{1}{2}g(g+1)}$ and consider the embedding

$$\phi_0 : (\mathbb{C}^*)^{\frac{1}{2}g(g+1)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\frac{1}{2}g(g+1)}$$

given by

$$T_{ij} = \begin{cases} \prod_{k=1}^g t_{ki} & \text{if } i = j \\ t_{ij}^{-1} & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

The image of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{\frac{1}{2}g(g+1)}$ under ϕ_0 is the standard torus $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{\frac{1}{2}g(g+1)}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{\frac{1}{2}g(g+1)}$ and the inverse of ϕ_0 is given by

$$t_{ij} = \begin{cases} \prod_{k=1}^g T_{ki} & \text{if } i = j \\ T_{ij}^{-1} & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

The reason why we are interested in the map ϕ_0 is that it is closely related to the so-called principal cone (see [14, p. 93]), which plays an essential role in the reduction theory of quadratic forms. The embedding ϕ_0 is also a central building block for toroidal compactifications of $\mathcal{A}_g$. In fact we have

Lemma 7 *The embedding given by ϕ_0 is the toroidal embedding corresponding to the principal cone .*

Proof: Let $n_{ij} \in \text{Sym}(g, \mathbb{Z})$ be the matrix defined by

$$(n_{ij})_{kl} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \{i, j\} = \{k, l\} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The set $\{n_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq g}$ is a basis of $\text{Sym}(g, \mathbb{Z})$. We call it the standard basis. We define another basis $\{n'_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq g}$ by

$$n'_{ij} = n_{ii} + n_{jj} - n_{ij}.$$

This basis defines the principal cone. In the notation of [15, p. 5], we have

$$T_{ij} = \mathbf{e}(m'_{ij}) \quad \text{and} \quad t_{ij} = \mathbf{e}(m_{ij}),$$

where $\{m_{ij}\}$ is the dual of the standard basis and $\{m'_{ij}\}$ is the dual of the basis which defines the principal cone. The relation between the two bases for $\text{Sym}(g, \mathbb{Z})$ gives a relation between the two dual bases and this yields the required relation between T_{ij} and t_{ij} . $\square$

3.3 Mumford's construction

Let

$$X_0 = \phi_0(\mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{H}_g) \subset \mathbb{C}^{\frac{1}{2}g(g+1)}.$$

Recall from section 3.1 that A_τ is the quotient of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^g$ by the rank- g lattice generated by

$$\begin{aligned} r_i &= (t_{1i}, \dots, t_{gi}) \\ &= (T_{1i}^{-1}, \dots, \prod_{k=1}^g T_{ki}, \dots, T_{gi}^{-1}) \quad (i = 1, \dots, g). \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

This shows that there is a family of abelian varieties $\mathcal{A}_0 \rightarrow X_0$ such that for each point $[\tau] \in X_0$ the fibre $\mathcal{A}_{[\tau]}$ is isomorphic to A_τ . We now want to add “boundary points”, i.e. we consider the set X , which is defined to be the

interior of the closure of X_0 in $\mathbb{C}^{\frac{1}{2}g(g+1)}$. Mumford's construction enables us to extend the family $\mathcal{A}_0 \rightarrow X_0$ to a family $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow X$ by adding degenerate abelian varieties over the boundary points.

For this purpose we consider

$$A = \mathbb{C}[T_{ij}], \quad I = (T_{ij}) \subset A.$$

Let K be the quotient field of A and consider the torus

$$\tilde{G} = \text{Spec } A[w_1, \dots, w_g, w_1^{-1}, \dots, w_g^{-1}] = (\mathbb{C}^*)^g \times \text{Spec } A.$$

By $\tilde{G}(K)$ we denote the K -valued points of $\tilde{G}$. The character group $\mathbb{X} = \text{Hom}((\mathbb{C}^*)^g, \mathbb{C}^*)$ is spanned by $w_1, \dots, w_g$. Finally, we consider the lattice $\mathbb{Y} \subset \tilde{G}(K)$ which is spanned by the $r_1, \dots, r_g$ from (3). Following the terminology of [10], we shall call $\mathbb{Y}$ the period lattice.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : \mathbb{Y} &\rightarrow \mathbb{X} \\ r_i &\mapsto w_i. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 8 *The homomorphism Φ is a polarization in the sense of Mumford.*

Proof: Let $y = \sum y_i r_i$ and $z = \sum z_i r_i \in \mathbb{Y}$. Then the character $X^{\Phi(y)} = \prod w_i^{y_i}$ given by $\Phi(y)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} X^{\Phi(y)}(z) &= \prod_{i=1}^g \left(\left(\prod_{j \neq i} T_{ij}^{-z_j} \right) \left(\prod_{k=1}^g T_{ki} \right)^{z_i} \right)^{y_i} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^g T_{ii}^{y_i z_i} \cdot \prod_{i < j} T_{ij}^{(y_i - y_j)(z_i - z_j)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $X^{\Phi(y)}(z) = X^{\Phi(z)}(y)$ and $X^{\Phi(y)}(y) \in I$ unless $y = 0$. It follows that Φ is a polarization in the sense of Mumford. $\square$

Remark 9 In fact $\Phi : \mathbb{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ is an isomorphism, hence it is a principal polarization in the sense of [3, chapter II].

Before we can explain Mumford's construction, we still have to choose a star $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{X}$. For $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^g$, we say that $\alpha \geq \beta$ if $\alpha_i \geq \beta_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, g$. If furthermore $\alpha \neq \beta$, then we say that $\alpha > \beta$. Now set

$$\Sigma' = \{\alpha \in \{0, \pm 1\}^g; \alpha \geq 0 \text{ or } \alpha \leq 0\}.$$

We identify this set with

$$\Sigma = \{X^\alpha = \prod w_i^{\alpha_i}; \alpha \in \Sigma'\} \subset \mathbb{X}.$$

This is a star in the sense of [10].

For technical reasons we note

Lemma 10 *For all $y \in \mathbb{Y}$ and for all $\alpha \in \Sigma$, we have $X^{\Phi(y)+\alpha}(y) \in A$.*

Proof: The calculations in the proof of lemma 8 show that

$$X^{\Phi(y)+\alpha_i}(y) = \prod_{i=1}^g T_{ii}^{y_i(y_i+\alpha_i)} \cdot \prod_{i < j} T_{ij}^{(y_i-y_j)(y_i-y_j+\alpha_i-\alpha_j)}.$$

The claim now follows since $z(z + \beta) \geq 0$ if $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\beta \in \{0, \pm 1\}$ and $\alpha_i, \alpha_i - \alpha_j \in \{0, \pm 1\}$ for all $\alpha \in \Sigma$. $\square$

We are now ready to explain Mumford's construction. As in [10, 3] we consider the graded ring

$$\mathcal{R} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \{K[\dots, X^\alpha, \dots]_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Y}} / (X^{\alpha+\beta} - X^\alpha X^\beta, X^0 - 1)\} \theta^k,$$

where θ is an indeterminate of degree 1 and all other elements have degree 0. Let $R_{\Phi, \Sigma}$ be the subring of $\mathcal{R}$ given by

$$R_{\Phi, \Sigma} = A[\dots, X^{\Phi(y)+\alpha}(y) X^{2\Phi(y)+\alpha}, \dots]_{\alpha \in \Sigma, y \in \mathbb{Y}}$$

By lemma 10

$$R_{\Phi, \Sigma} \subset A[\dots, X^\alpha \theta, \dots]_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Y}}.$$

Let

$$\tilde{P} = \text{Proj } R_{\Phi, \Sigma}.$$

This is a scheme over $\text{Spec } A$. The group $\mathbb{Y}$ acts on $\tilde{P}$ and the desired extension $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow X$ of $\mathcal{A}_0 \rightarrow X_0$ is given by

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{Y} \backslash (\tilde{P}|_X).$$

The scheme $\tilde{P}$ is covered by the affine open sets

$$U_{\alpha,y} = \text{Spec } R_{\alpha,y},$$

where

$$R_{\alpha,y} = A[\dots, \frac{X^{\Phi(z)+\beta}(z)}{X^{\Phi(y)+\alpha}(y)} X^{2\Phi(z-y)+\beta-\alpha}, \dots]_{\beta \in \Sigma, z \in \mathbb{Y}},$$

as α runs through Σ and y runs through $\mathbb{Y}$. The action of $y \in \mathbb{Y}$ on $\tilde{P}$ identifies $U_{\alpha,0}$ with $U_{\alpha,y}$, so it suffices to calculate

$$\begin{aligned} U_\alpha &= U_{\alpha,0} = \text{Spec } R_{\alpha,0} \\ R_\alpha &= R_{\alpha,0} = A[\dots, M_{\beta,z}, \dots]_{\beta \in \Sigma, z \in \mathbb{Y}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\beta,z} &= X^{\Phi(z)+\beta}(z) X^{2\Phi(z)+\beta-\alpha} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^g T_{ii}^{z_i(z_i+\beta_i)} \cdot \prod_{i < j} T_{ij}^{(z_i-z_j)(z_i-z_j+\beta_i-\beta_j)} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^g w_i^{2z_i+\beta_i-\alpha_i}. \end{aligned}$$

We are not interested in *all* degenerations of abelian varieties arising from this construction, but only in those which correspond to $\tau_{11}, \dots, \tau_{g-1,g-1} \rightarrow i\infty$. Hence we can fix the entries τ_{ij} for $i \neq j$ in the matrix τ . This corresponds to fixing the coordinates $T_{ij} = t_{ij}^{-1}$ for $i \neq j$ and hence defines an affine subspace

$$L = L(\tau_{ij}) \subset \mathbb{C}^{\frac{1}{2}g(g+1)}.$$

We can use $T_{11}, \dots, T_{gg}$ as coordinates on L . For the sake of simplicity, we introduce the notation

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_i &= \tau_{ii} \\ T_i &= T_{ii}, \quad i = 1, \dots, g, \end{aligned}$$

and consider the ring

$$A' = \mathbb{C}[T_1, \dots, T_g].$$

By abuse of notation we shall denote the restriction of $\tilde{P}$ (resp. U_α) to L also by $\tilde{P}$ (resp. U_α). Then

$$U_\alpha = \text{Spec } R_\alpha,$$

where now

$$R_\alpha = A'[\dots, M_{\beta,z}, \dots]_{\beta \in \Sigma, z \in \mathbb{Y}},$$

and

$$M_{\beta,z} = \prod_{i=1}^g T_i^{z_i(z_i+\beta_i)} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^g w_i^{2z_i+\beta_i-\alpha_i}.$$

Proposition 11 *For $\alpha \in \Sigma$, we have $R_\alpha = A'[X_1, \dots, X_g, Y_1, \dots, Y_g]$, where*

$$X_i = \begin{cases} T_i^{\alpha_i} w_i & \text{if } \alpha \geq 0 \\ w_i & \text{if } \alpha \leq 0 \end{cases} \quad Y_i = \begin{cases} w_i^{-1} & \text{if } \alpha \geq 0 \\ T_i^{-\alpha_i} w_i^{-1} & \text{if } \alpha \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof: This follows easily from the observation that $z(z+\beta) - (2z+\beta) \geq -1$ if $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\beta \in \{0, \pm 1\}$. $\square$

Corollary 12 *The scheme $\tilde{P}$ is smooth.*

Proof: It is enough to show that all U_α are smooth. If $\alpha = 0$, then $U_\alpha = \mathbb{C}^g \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^g$. Now let $\alpha \neq 0$. We treat the case $\alpha = (1, \dots, 1)$, the other cases being similar. Then

$$U_{(1,\dots,1)} = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[T_1, \dots, T_g, Z_1, \dots, Z_{2g}] / (Z_i Z_{i+g} - T_i)).$$

Projecting onto $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[T_1, \dots, T_g, Z_1, \dots, Z_{2g}]$ shows that $U_{(1,\dots,1)} \cong \mathbb{C}^{2g}$. $\square$

Now we consider the set

$$V = X \cap L.$$

One easily checks that V contains the lines where all T_i but one are zero. Let $\tilde{P}_V$ be the restriction of $\tilde{P}$ to V .

Proposition 13 (i) *The group of periods $\mathbb{Y}$ acts freely and properly discontinuously on $\tilde{P}_V$.*

(ii) *The quotient $\mathcal{A}_V = \mathbb{Y} \backslash \tilde{P}_V$ is smooth. Moreover, the family $\mathcal{A}_V \rightarrow V$ is flat. It extends the family $\mathcal{A}_0|_{V \cap X_0}$. In particular, the general fibre is a smooth abelian g -fold.*

Proof:

(i) This can be done as in [3, theorem 3.14 (i)].

(ii) Smoothness follows from (i) and corollary 12. The family is flat since $\mathcal{A}_V$ is smooth of dimension $2g$ and every fibre has dimension g . It extends $\mathcal{A}_0|_{V \cap X_0}$ by construction. □

3.4 Description of the degenerate abelian varieties

We now want to describe the fibre of $\mathcal{A}_V$ over a point $p = (0, \dots, 0, T_g)$ with $T_g \neq 0$. We shall denote this fibre by A_p and we shall denote the fibres of $\tilde{P}$, U_α and $U_{\alpha,y}$ over this point by $\tilde{P}_p$, $(U_\alpha)_p$ and $(U_{\alpha,y})_p$ respectively. Recall that

$$(U_\alpha)_p = \text{Spec}(R_\alpha)_p,$$

where

$$(R_\alpha)_p = \mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_g, Y_1, \dots, Y_g] = \mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_{g-1}, Y_1, \dots, Y_{g-1}, w_g, w_g^{-1}]$$

with X_i and Y_i as in proposition 11. We first consider $(U_\alpha)_p$. Clearly $(U_0)_p = (\mathbb{C}^*)^g$. In general, $(U_\alpha)_p$ consists of 2^h irreducible components, where

$$h = \#\{i; 1 \leq i \leq g-1, \alpha_i \neq 0\}.$$

It is singular for $h \geq 1$. Its regular part is the disjoint union of 2^h tori. These tori can be described as follows. Consider

$$w_i = T_i^{-\beta_i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, g,$$

where $\beta_i \in \{0, -\alpha_i\}$ for $i = 1, \dots, g-1$. Outside the hyperplanes $\{T_i = 0\}$, this defines a section of the torus bundle U_0 . Note that $U_0 = U_\alpha$ on $L - \cup_i \{T_i = 0\}$. This section can be extended to a section of U_α over p ,

where it meets exactly one of the 2^h tori whose union is the smooth part of $(U_\alpha)_p$. This shows also that $(U_\alpha)_p \subset (U_\beta)_p$ if and only if $\beta \geq \alpha \geq 0$ or $\beta \leq \alpha \leq 0$.

The subgroup $\langle r_g \rangle$ of $\mathbb{Y}$ generated by r_g acts on U_0 and hence also on $(U_p)_0$. It also acts on $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[w_g, w_g^{-1}] = \mathbb{C}^*$ by

$$r_g(w_g) = \mathbf{e}(\tau_g)w_g.$$

The inclusion of rings

$$\mathbb{C}[w_g, w_g^{-1}] \subset (R_\alpha)_p$$

defines a map

$$(U_0)_p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*,$$

which is equivariant with respect to the action of $\langle r_g \rangle$. In this way we get a semi-abelian variety of rank $g - 1$, i.e. an extension

$$0 \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^*)^{g-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \backslash (U_0)_p \rightarrow E_{\tau_g,1} \rightarrow 0,$$

where $E_{\tau_g,1}$ is the elliptic curve

$$E_{\tau_g,1} = \mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau_g).$$

The closure of $(U_0)_p$ in $\tilde{P}_p$ has the structure of a $(\mathbb{P}^1)^{g-1}$ -bundle over $\mathbb{C}^*$. Taking the quotient by $\langle r_g \rangle$ gives rise to a $(\mathbb{P}^1)^{g-1}$ -bundle over the elliptic curve $E_{\tau_g,1}$.

We now return our attention to $\tilde{P}_p$. Recall that

$$\tilde{P}_p = \cup_{\alpha \in \Sigma, y \in \mathbb{Y}} (U_{\alpha,y})_p.$$

It follows from our observations above that the regular part of $\tilde{P}_p$ is the union of countably many tori. These tori can be labelled in a natural way by elements $(l_1, \dots, l_{g-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{g-1}$: the section given outside the union of the hyperplanes $\{T_i = 0\}$ by

$$w_i = T_i^{-l_i}$$

can be extended over the point p where it meets exactly one of the tori contained in $\tilde{P}_p$. We shall label this torus by $(l_1, \dots, l_{g-1})$. The element r_i of $\mathbb{Y}$ ($i = 1, \dots, g - 1$) then maps the torus $(l_1, \dots, l_i, \dots, l_{g-1})$ to the torus $(l_1, \dots, l_i - 1, \dots, l_{g-1})$, whereas r_g maps each of these tori to itself.

We can now summarize our discussion in

Proposition 14 *Let $A_p = \mathbb{Y} \setminus \tilde{P}_p$ be the fibre of $\mathcal{A}_V$ over the point $(0, \dots, 0, T_g)$ of V with $T_g \neq 0$. Then the following holds*

(i) *The regular part A_p^{reg} of A_p is a semi-abelian variety of rank $g - 1$. More precisely, there exists an extension*

$$0 \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^*)^{g-1} \rightarrow A_p^{\text{reg}} \rightarrow E_{\tau_g, 1} \rightarrow 0.$$

(ii) *The normalization of A_p is a $(\mathbb{P}^1)^{g-1}$ -bundle over the elliptic curve $E_{\tau_g, 1}$. The identifications given by the normalization map are induced by the following identifications on $(\mathbb{P}^1)^{g-1} \times \mathbb{C}^*$*

$$\begin{aligned} r_i : (w_1, \dots, w_{i-1}, \infty, w_i, \dots, w_g) &\mapsto \\ (t_{i1}w_1, \dots, t_{i,i-1}w_{i-1}, 0, t_{i,i+1}w_{i+1}, \dots, t_{ig}w_g), \end{aligned}$$

where i runs through $\{1, \dots, g - 1\}$.

Remarks 15 (i) Let

$$a_i = [\tau_{ig}] \in E_{\tau_g, 1} \quad i = 1, \dots, g - 1.$$

Then the action of r_i lies over the translation $x \mapsto x + a_i$ on the elliptic curve $E_{\tau_g, 1}$.

(ii) The singularities of A_p can be read off from proposition 11, but can also be understood in terms of the identifications described in proposition 14 (ii). For every $h \in \{0, \dots, g - 1\}$, there is a locally closed $(g - h)$ -dimensional subset of A_p , where 2^h smooth branches meet and where the Zariski tangent space has dimension $g + h$. The “worst” singularities of A_p occur along an elliptic curve isomorphic to $E_{\tau_g, 1}$, where 2^{g-1} smooth branches meet.

3.5 The $(1, \dots, 1, d)$ -polarized case.

We now turn to the case of general $d \geq 1$, i.e. we consider the period matrix

$$\Omega_\tau = \begin{pmatrix} \tau \\ D \end{pmatrix}, \quad D = \text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, d).$$

Dividing out by the last g rows of this period matrix gives a torus $(\mathbb{C}^*)^g$ with coordinates

$$w_i = \begin{cases} \mathbf{e}(z_i) & i = 1, \dots, g - 1 \\ \mathbf{e}(z_g/d) & i = g. \end{cases}$$

Let

$$t_{ij} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{e}(\tau_{ij}) & \text{if } i, j = 1, \dots, g-1 \\ \mathbf{e}(\tau_{ig}/d) & \text{if } i = g \text{ or } j = g. \end{cases}$$

Then the first g rows of Ω_τ act on $(\mathbb{C}^*)^g$ by multiplication by

$$\begin{cases} (t_{i1}, \dots, t_{ig}) & \text{for the } i\text{-th row, where } i = 1, \dots, g-1 \\ (t_{1g}^d, \dots, t_{gg}^d) & \text{for the } g\text{-th row.} \end{cases}$$

Changing the polarization from a principal one to a polarization of type $(1, \dots, 1, d)$ corresponds to changing the group of periods $\mathbb{Y}$ to the subgroup

$$\mathbb{Y}' = \langle r_1, \dots, r_{g-1}, r_g^d \rangle.$$

We shall, therefore, consider the family

$$\mathcal{A}_V = \mathbb{Y}' \setminus \tilde{P}_V.$$

Now the general element is a smooth abelian variety with a polarization of type $(1, \dots, 1, d)$. Proposition 14 remains unchanged with the one exception that the base curve $E_{\tau_g, 1}$ has to be replaced with the elliptic curve

$$E_{\tau_g, d} = \mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z}d + \mathbb{Z}\tau_g).$$

4 Degeneration of the polarization

Here we shall always consider the case of polarizations of type $(1, \dots, 1, d)$. What we have done in the previous section was to extend the family $\mathcal{A}_0|_{V \cap X_0}$ to a family $\mathcal{A}_V$ over V . We would now like to construct a relative polarization on $\mathcal{A}_0|_{V \cap X_0}$ which extends to the family $\mathcal{A}_V$. Although this can be done, we shall actually do slightly less: since we are only interested in degenerations belonging to points $(0, \dots, 0, T_g)$ with $T_g \neq 0$, we shall restrict ourselves to small neighbourhoods of such points.

For each $m \in \mathbb{R}^g$, consider the theta-function

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{m, 0} : \mathcal{H}_g \times \mathbb{C}^g &\rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ (\tau, z) &\mapsto \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}^g} \mathbf{e}\left(\frac{1}{2}(q+m)\tau^t(q+m) + (q+m)^tz\right), \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$s(\tau) = (-\frac{1}{2}\tau_1, \dots, -\frac{1}{2}\tau_{g-1}, 0)$$

and

$$r = (0, \dots, 0, \frac{1}{d}).$$

For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we can then consider the functions

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_k : \mathcal{H}_g \times \mathbb{C}^g &\rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ (\tau, z) &\mapsto \theta_{kr,0}(\tau, z + s(\tau)). \end{aligned}$$

Note that this depends only on the class of k in $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$. These functions all have the same automorphy factor and hence are sections of a line bundle $\mathcal{L}_\tau$ on A_τ . In fact, $\mathcal{L}_\tau$ represents the $(1, \dots, 1, d)$ -polarization on A_τ and the θ_k define a basis of the space of sections of this line bundle [8, p. 75]. Let

$$\tau' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tau_{12} & \dots & \dots & \tau_{1,g-1} \\ \tau_{21} & 0 & & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & 0 & \tau_{g-2,g-1} \\ \tau_{g-1,1} & \dots & \dots & \tau_{g-1,g-2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and set

$$\tau'' = {}^t(\tau_{1g}, \dots, \tau_{g-1,g}).$$

Finally we consider the analogue of the functions θ_k in one variable, i.e. the functions

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta_k : \mathcal{H}_1 \times \mathbb{C} &\rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ (\tau, z) &\mapsto \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{e}(\frac{1}{2}(q + k/d)^2 \tau + (q + k/d)z), \end{aligned}$$

which also depend only on $k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$.

Proposition 16 *With the notation of §3, the functions θ_k can be written in the form*

$$\theta_k(\tau, z) = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}^{g-1}} c_q(\tau') \vartheta_k(\tau_g, z_g + q\tau'') \prod_{i=1}^{g-1} t_i^{\frac{1}{2}q_i(q_i-1)} w_i^{q_i},$$

with

$$c_q(\tau') = \prod_{0 < i < j < g} t_{ij}^{q_i q_j}.$$

Proof: This follows from a straightforward computation. $\square$

Remark 17 The shift $z \mapsto z + s(\tau)$ was introduced in order to obtain integer exponents of the variables t_i in the above description.

From now on, we fix τ' and τ'' . Let $p = (0, \dots, 0, T_g)$ be an element of V with $T_g \neq 0$. For small neighbourhoods W of p in V , it follows from proposition 16 that we may consider the θ_k as holomorphic functions on $W \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^{g-1} \times \mathbb{C}$ (with coordinates $(T_1, \dots, T_g; w_1, \dots, w_{g-1}, z_g)$).

Let $W_0 = W \cap X_0$ and let $\mathcal{A}_{W_0}$, resp. $\mathcal{A}_W$ be the restriction of the family $\mathcal{A}$ to W_0 , resp. W . Since the automorphy factors of the functions θ_k do not depend on k , there exists a line bundle $\mathcal{L}_0$ on $\mathcal{A}_{W_0}$ such that the functions θ_k are sections of this line bundle. The line bundle $\mathcal{L}_0$ defines a relative polarization on $\mathcal{A}_{W_0}$. Our aim is to extend the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_0$ and its sections θ_k to $\mathcal{A}_W$.

Proposition 18 *The line bundle $\mathcal{L}_0$ on $\mathcal{A}_{W_0}$ can be extended to a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on $\mathcal{A}_W$. Moreover, the sections of $\mathcal{L}_0$ defined by the functions θ_k can be extended to sections of $\mathcal{L}$.*

Proof: Using proposition 16, this can be done in the same way as in [3, prop. II.5.13] or as in [4, prop. 4.1.3]. Therefore, we shall not give all technical details, but only an outline of the proof. We first consider the open part $\mathcal{U}$ of $\tilde{P}_W$ given by the union of the open sets $(U_{0,y})_W$, where $y \in \mathbb{Y}$. The codimension of the complement of $\mathcal{U}$ in $\tilde{P}$ is 2. Each open set $(U_{0,y})_W$ is a trivial torus of rank g over W . For $y = 0$, we can use coordinates $(T_1, \dots, T_g; w_1, \dots, w_{g-1}, w_g)$ to identify $(U_0)_W$ with $W \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^g$. Since the function $\vartheta_k(\tau_g, z_g + q\tau'')$ can be expressed in terms of the coordinate $w_g = \mathbf{e}(z_g)$, we can view the functions θ_k as functions on $(U_0)_W$. Similarly, using the action of $\mathbb{Y}$, we can choose coordinates for $(U_{0,y})_W$ for every $y \in \mathbb{Y}$, such that this open set is also identified with $W \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^g$. In this way we can consider the θ_k also as functions on $(U_{0,y})_W$. We can think of $\mathcal{U}$ as a complex manifold which is obtained by glueing the open sets $(U_{0,y})_W$. For every

$y \in \mathbb{Y}$, we consider the θ_k as sections of the trivial line bundle on $(U_{0,y})_W$. Using the automorphy factors of the functions θ_k , we can glue these trivial line bundles and obtain a line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{U}}$ on $\mathcal{U}$. This can be done in such a way that the action of $\mathbb{Y}$ on $\mathcal{U}$ lifts to an action of $\mathbb{Y}$ on $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{U}}$. Hence this line bundle descends to a line bundle on $\mathbb{Y} \backslash \mathcal{U}$. By construction, the functions θ_k define sections of this line bundle. We have now extended the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_0$ to an open set of $\mathcal{A}_W$, whose complement has codimension 2. To extend the bundle to the whole of $\mathcal{A}_W$, one can either use the Remmert-Stein extension theorem (cf. [3, 4]) or one can perform a similar construction using all sets $(U_{\alpha,y})_W$ ($\alpha \in \Sigma$, $y \in \mathbb{Y}$). $\square$

For future reference we also note

Proposition 19 *Let $S = \{0, 1\}^{g-1}$. Then*

$$\lim_{t_1, \dots, t_{g-1} \rightarrow 0} \theta_k(\tau, z) = \sum_{q \in S} c_q(\tau') \vartheta_k(\tau_g, z_g + q\tau'') w^q,$$

where

$$w^q = \prod_{i=1}^{g-1} w_i^{q_i}.$$

Proof: This follows from proposition 16. $\square$

Remark 20 We denote the restriction of the line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ to A_p by $\mathcal{L}_p$. The functions from proposition 19 give d sections of $\mathcal{L}_p$.

5 Very ampleness in the case $d > 2^g$

Let $d \geq 1$. Given a symmetric matrix

$$\tau' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tau_{12} & \dots & \dots & \tau_{1,g-1} \\ \tau_{21} & 0 & & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & 0 & \tau_{g-2,g-1} \\ \tau_{g-1,1} & \dots & \dots & \tau_{g-1,g-2} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

an element ${}^t\tau'' = (\tau_{1g}, \dots, \tau_{g-1,g})$ of $\mathbb{C}^{g-1}$ and an element τ_g of $\mathcal{H}_1$, we have constructed in §3 a degenerate abelian variety A_p of dimension g , whose normalization is a $(\mathbb{P}^1)^{g-1}$ -bundle over the elliptic curve $E = E_{\tau_g,d} = \mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z}d + \mathbb{Z}\tau_g)$. Moreover, there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} E & \subset & A_p & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathbb{P}^{d-1} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \rho & & \uparrow \\ \mathbb{C} \times \{0, \dots, 0\} & \subset & \mathbb{C} \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^{g-1} & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \mathbb{C}^d, \end{array}$$

where the map Φ is defined (with the notation of §4) by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi &= (\phi_0, \dots, \phi_{d-1}) \\ \phi_k(z_g; w_1, \dots, w_{g-1}) &= \sum_{q \in S} c_q(\tau') \vartheta_k(\tau_g, z_g + q\tau'') w^q \end{aligned}$$

(cf. proposition 19). We want to study the rational map ϕ . Recall that when ϕ is a morphism, $\phi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{d-1}}(1)$ is the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_p$ on A_p defined in remark 20 and note that $\phi(E)$ is a normal elliptic curve of degree d in $\mathbb{P}^{d-1}$.

For any $z \in \mathbb{C}$, we let $[z]$ be the image of z in E and we set $a_i = [\tau_{ig}]$ for $i = 1, \dots, g-1$, and $a = ({}^t a_1, \dots, a_{g-1})$. Recall that $S = \{0, 1\}^{g-1}$.

From now on, we assume that τ'' is generic. More precisely, it suffices that

$$\text{the points } a_1, \dots, a_{g-1} \text{ of } E \text{ are independent over } \mathbb{Z}. \quad (4)$$

Then, for any $x \in E$, the subset

$$I(x) = \{x + qa; q \in S\}$$

of E has 2^{g-1} elements.

The following lemma is a consequence of the Riemann-Roch theorem.

Lemma 21 *Any set of at most $d-1$ points on $\phi(E)$ is linearly independent.*

Proposition 22 *Let τ'' be generic. If $d > 2^{g-1}$, then $\phi_0, \dots, \phi_{d-1}$ have no common zeroes. In particular, ϕ is a morphism and $\mathcal{L}_p$ is base-point-free.*

Proof: For any $Z = (z_g; w_1, \dots, w_{g-1})$ in $\mathbb{C} \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^{g-1}$, the vector $\Phi(Z)$ is a linear combination of the vectors $(\vartheta_k(\tau_g, z_g + q\tau''))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}}$, whose coefficients are not all zero. The proposition then follows from lemma 21. $\square$

From now on, we assume that $d > 2^{g-1}$. Let $z_g \in \mathbb{C}$; the morphism ρ induces an isomorphism between $\{z_g\} \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^{g-1}$ and a closed subscheme of A_p , which depends only on $x = [z_g]$. We will denote it by F_x . Note that $I(x) \subset F_x$.

Since $d > 2^{g-1}$, the points of $\phi(I(x))$ are linearly independent. It follows from proposition 19, that the restriction of ϕ to F_x is a Segre embedding.

Proposition 23 *Let τ'' be generic. If $d > 2^g$, then ϕ is injective.*

Proof: From proposition 14, we see that the restriction of ρ to $\mathbb{C} \times (\mathbb{P}^1 - \{\infty\})^{g-1}$ induces a bijection

$$B_p \rightarrow A_p,$$

where B_p is an open subset of the normalization of A_p , fibred over E with fibres isomorphic to $(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{\infty\})^{g-1}$. For $x \in E$, we let F_x^0 be the image in A_p of the fibre of x . It is a subset of F_x .

Let x, y be two points of E . Since $d > 2^g$, the points of $\phi(I(x) \cup I(y))$ are linearly independent. It follows that if $\phi(F_x^0)$ and $\phi(F_y^0)$ meet, then $x \in I(y)$ and $y \in I(x)$. Condition (4) then implies $x = y$. Hence, for $x \neq y$, the sets $\phi(F_x^0)$ and $\phi(F_y^0)$ do not meet. Since $\phi|_{F_x}$ is an embedding, the lemma is proved. $\square$

Theorem 24 *Let τ'' be generic. For $d > 2^g$, the morphism ϕ is an embedding. In particular, $\mathcal{L}_p$ is very ample.*

Proof: It remains to prove that the differential is injective on the Zariski tangent spaces.

After reordering the coordinates, we may assume that we are at a point

$$P = \rho(z_g; 0, \dots, 0, v_{h+1}, \dots, v_{g-1}),$$

where $z_g \in \mathbb{C}$, $v_{h+1}, \dots, v_{g-1} \in \mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, \infty\}$. The Zariski tangent space of A_p at P has dimension $g + h$ (see remark 15). Moreover, A_p has 2^h smooth branches at P , which are indexed by subsets K of $\{1, \dots, h\}$. The branch corresponding to K is

$$\rho(\{z_g - \tau_K''\} \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^{g-1}),$$

where $\tau_K'' = \sum_{i \in K} \tau_{ig}$ and in this branch, the point P_K above P is

$$\rho(z_g - \tau_K''; v'_1, \dots, v'_{g-1}),$$

where

$$v'_l = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } l \in K \\ 0 & \text{if } l \in K' = \{1, \dots, h\} - K \\ \prod_{i \in K} t_{il}^{-1} v_l & \text{for } h < l < g \end{cases}$$

(see proposition 14 (ii)).

We change the coordinates around P_K by setting

$$w''_i = \begin{cases} (w'_i)^{-1} & \text{if } i \in K \\ w'_i & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In these coordinates, Φ is given by

$$\Phi(z_g; w''_1, \dots, w''_{g-1}) = \left(\sum_{q \in S} c_q \vartheta_k(\tau_g, z_g + q\tau'')(w'')^{1-q_K} (w'')^{q_{K'} + q_L} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{1} &= (1, \dots, 1) \in S \\ L &= \{h+1, \dots, g-1\} \end{aligned}$$

and, for $M \subset \{1, \dots, g-1\}$ and $q \in S$, we define $q_M \in S$ by

$$(q_M)_i = \begin{cases} q_i & \text{if } i \in M \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We need to calculate the corresponding Jacobian matrix at P_K (whose new coordinates are $(z_g - \tau_K''; 0, \dots, 0, v'_{h+1}, \dots, v'_{g-1})$).

Derivative with respect to x .

In the sum, we need only consider indices q such that

$$q_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \in K \\ 0 & \text{if } i \in K'. \end{cases}$$

After setting $r = q - \mathbf{1}_K$, we get

$$\left(\sum_{\substack{r \in S \\ r_1 = \dots = r_h = 0}} c_{\mathbf{1}_K + r} \vartheta'_k(\tau_g, z_g + r\tau'')(v')^{r_L} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Since

$$c_{\mathbf{1}_K + r} = \left(\prod_{\substack{i < j \\ i, j \in K}} t_{ij} \right) \left(\prod_{\substack{i \in K \\ j \in L}} t_{ij}^{r_j} \right) c_r$$

and

$$(v')^{r_L} = \prod_{\substack{i \in K \\ l \in L}} t_{il}^{-r_l} v_l^{r_l},$$

we get a non-zero multiple of the vector

$$\left(\sum_{\substack{r \in S \\ r_1 = \dots = r_h = 0}} c_r \vartheta'_k(\tau_g, z_g + r\tau'') v^r \right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Derivative with respect to w''_β , $\beta \in K$.

In the sum, we need only consider indices q such that

$$q_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \in K - \{\beta\} \\ 0 & \text{if } i \in K' \cup \{\beta\}. \end{cases}$$

A similar calculation yields (after setting $r = q - \mathbf{1}_{K - \{\beta\}}$) a non-zero multiple of the vector

$$\left(\sum_{\substack{r \in S \\ r_1 = \dots = r_h = 0}} c_r \vartheta_k(\tau_g, z_g - \tau_{\beta g} + r\tau'') v^r \left(\prod_{j \in L} t_{\beta j}^{-r_j} \right) \right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Derivative with respect to w''_β , $\beta \in K'$.

We get a non-zero multiple of

$$\left(\sum_{\substack{r \in S \\ r_1 = \dots = r_h = 0}} c_r \vartheta_k(\tau_g, z_g + \tau_{\beta g} + r\tau'') v^r \left(\prod_{j \in L} t_{\beta j}^{r_j} \right) \right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Derivative with respect to w''_β , $\beta \in L$.

We get a non-zero multiple of

$$\left(\sum_{\substack{r \in S \\ r_1 = \dots = r_h = 0 \\ r_\beta = 1}} c_r \vartheta_k(\tau_g, z_g + r\tau'') v^r \right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Altogether, letting K vary among all subsets of $\{1, \dots, h\}$, we see that the closure of $d\phi(T_P A_P)$ in $\mathbb{P}^{d-1}$ is spanned by the following $(g+h+1)$ points

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{\substack{r \in S \\ r_1 = \dots = r_h = 0}} c_r \vartheta_k(\tau_g, z_g + r\tau'') v^r \right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} \\ & \left(\sum_{\substack{r \in S \\ r_1 = \dots = r_h = 0}} c_r \vartheta'_k(\tau_g, z_g + r\tau'') v^r \right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} \\ & \left(\sum_{\substack{r \in S \\ r_1 = \dots = r_h = 0}} c_r \vartheta_k(\tau_g, z_g + \epsilon\tau_{\beta g} + r\tau'') v^r \left(\prod_{j \in L} t_{\beta j}^{\epsilon q_j} \right) \right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} \end{aligned}$$

for all $(\beta, \epsilon) \in \{1, \dots, h\} \times \{-1, 1\}$, and

$$\left(\sum_{\substack{r \in S \\ r_1 = \dots = r_h = 0 \\ r_\beta = 1}} c_r \vartheta_k(\tau_g, z_g + r\tau'') v^r \right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}}$$

for all $\beta \in \{h+1, \dots, g-1\}$. Since $d > 2^g \geq 2^{g-h}(h+1)$, it follows from lemma 21 that the $2^{g-h}(h+1)$ vectors

$$\begin{aligned} & (\vartheta_k(\tau_g, z_g + r\tau''))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} \\ & (\vartheta'_k(\tau_g, z_g + r\tau''))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} \\ & (\vartheta_k(\tau_g, z_g + \epsilon\tau_{\beta g} + r\tau''))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} \end{aligned}$$

for $r \in S$ with $r_1 = \dots = r_h = 0$, $\epsilon = \pm 1$ and $\beta \in \{1, \dots, h\}$, are linearly independent in $\mathbb{C}^d$.

This implies that the $(g+h+1)$ vectors above are linearly independent in $\mathbb{C}^d$ and proves that the image of the differential of ϕ at P has dimension $g+h$. The differential of ϕ is therefore everywhere injective, which proves the theorem. $\square$

Corollary 25 *Let (A, L) be a generic polarized abelian variety of dimension g and type $(1, \dots, 1, d)$. For $d > 2^g$, the line bundle L is very ample.*

Remark 26 Similar calculations show that for given τ_g and τ'' satisfying condition (4), and for a generic choice of the matrix τ' , the morphism ϕ is unramified for $d \geq 2^g - g(g-3)/2$ and is an embedding for $d > 2^g - g(g-3)/2$. For $g \geq 4$, this improves slightly on the bound in theorem 24. However, ϕ is never an embedding for $g = 3$ and $d = 8$: for a generic choice of τ' , it is unramified and identifies (transversally) a finite number of pairs of smooth points of A_p .

References

- [1] W. Barth, *Transplanting cohomology classes in complex-projective space*, Am. J. of Math. **92** (1970), 951–967.
- [2] Ch. Birkenhake, H. Lange, *Complex abelian varieties*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1992).
- [3] K. Hulek, C. Kahn, S.H. Weintraub, *Moduli spaces of polarized abelian surfaces: compactification, degenerations, and theta functions*, to appear: de Gruyter (1993).
- [4] K. Hulek, S.H. Weintraub, *The principal degenerations of abelian surfaces and their polarizations*, Math. Ann. **286** (1990), 281–307.
- [5] Ch. Birkenhake, H. Lange, S Ramanan, *Primitive line bundles on abelian threefolds*, Preprint Erlangen (1993).
- [6] G. Fischer, *Complex analytic geometry*, Lecture Notes in Math. **538**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1976). (1984).
- [7] W. Fulton, R. Lazarsfeld, *On the connectedness of degeneracy loci and special divisors*, Acta Math. **146** (1981), 271–283
- [8] J. Igusa, *Theta functions*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften in Einzeldarstellungen, Band **194**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1967).

- [9] J. Kollár, Trento Examples, in: *Classification of irregular varieties*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1515**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1991).
- [10] D. Mumford, *An analytic construction of degenerating abelian varieties over complete rings*, Comp. Math. **24** (1972), 239–272.
- [11] D. Mumford, *On the equations defining abelian varieties, I*, Invent. Math. **1** (1966), 287–354.
- [12] D. Mumford, *On the Kodaira dimension of the Siegel modular variety*, Lecture Notes in Math. **997**, Proceedings of the Ravello conference 1982, 348–376, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1983).
- [13] D. Nagaraj, S. Ramanan, *Abelian varieties with polarisation of type $(1, 2, \dots, 2)$* , to appear.
- [14] Y. Namikawa, *Toroidal compactification of Siegel spaces*, Lecture Notes in Math. **812**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1980).
- [15] T. Oda, *Convex bodies and algebraic geometry*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, 3. Folge, Band **15**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1980).
- [16] A. Van de Ven, *On the embeddings of abelian varieties in projective spaces*, Annali di Matematica **103** (1975), 127–129.